

costs for development of the site at Yucca Mtn, plus (b) the cost of treating the waste prior to disposal, plus (c) the INCREMENTAL cost at the repository to physically "make room" for the waste. Cost item (a) does not depend on the choice of a treatment option. Cost item (b) is probably MUCH higher for the separations options than for non-separations (probably billions of dollars higher). Cost item (c) will be somewhat higher for non-separations options than for separations options. However, the difference will not be nearly as high as claimed by those who justify separations on the basis of cost. The reason is that when one considers only INCREMENTAL costs in the comparisons, the disposal cost will be only a small fraction of the \$500,000 per cubic meter figure that has been used.

In summary, I believe that the TOTAL cost to the taxpayers will be much, much higher if any separations option is selected.]

HLW & FD EIS PROJECT -AR/PF  
Control # DC-77

#### HLW EIS Web Comments

From: HLWFDEIS Web Site  
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2000 3:02 PM  
To: web@jason.com  
Cc: web\_archive@jason.com  
Subject: HLW EIS Web Comment

Name: Chelsea and Edie Porter and Spear  
Affiliation: Foothills School of Arts and Sciences  
Address1: 2222 S. Swallowtail  
Address2:  
City, State Zip: Boise, ID 83702  
Telephone: 1-208 331-9260  
Date Entered: {ts '2000-04-19 15:01:42'}  
Comment:

Dear Mr. Wichmann 77-1 III.D.1(i)  
[This waste is harming a lot of people so STOP! We don't like the fact that you are putting things that are hazardous to our health into the Snake River! It is not safe people can get cancer! Our main point is just stop!]  
Sincerely  
Chelsea A. Porter  
and  
Edie I. Spear